CAPITAL PLAN LIST C – EVALUATIONS

Proje	ct	Street Scene, Leisure	& Technical Services: Leybourne Lakes Country Park – Facility Improvements
1	Spe	cification:	
	(i)	Purpose of the scheme	To build a purpose built lakeside facility to provide year round catering, educational/flexible space and a centre for watersports. The facility would meet an identified customer need and would be income generating. The project will help support the long term sustainability of the Leisure Trust.
	(ii)	Relevance to National / Council's Objectives	(a) National: None (b) Council: Savings and Transformation Income Generation Asset Management
	(iii)	Targets for judging success	 (a) Saving in cost of facility (b) Improvement to existing service (c) User feedback (d) Project delivered within budget
2	the v publ year addi requ man acco	watersports are operated ic use. The project would round service. Usage of tional income. The project irements for planning an aged by the Leisure Trustount. The management o	sign Issues : At the present time the site offers a limited mobile catering service in the summer months and out of old shipping containers. Toilet provision is located in the car park away from the areas of most provide a purpose built facility to provide the quality of facility to meet customer expectations and to offer a the site continues to grow year on year and the opportunity exists to meet customer demand and generate at will be designed in liaison with external architects and will need to be sympathetic to the location, meet do be in accordance with the Council's Climate change strategy. The operation of the new facility will be st which will be fully involved in the design to ensure future operational considerations are taken into find the project will involve a cross service project management group with draft design proposals reported to and Housing Advisory Board.
3	proc	urement, construction ar	ed project plan will outline key milestones relating to issues such as design, planning approval, and timescales. Each stage of the project plan represents its own risks together with the need to complete at and the agreed timescale.
4	as the Paris	ne most requested impro sh Councils have expres	ons will take place with Planning Services and Building Control. The provision of the facility was identified vement to the site in the last customer survey, and is supported by the customer panel. Local Members and sed their support for such a project in the past and will be consulted further over the design. Cabinet has first year Addendum to the Council's Corporate Strategy. The Council's Management Team are supportive

CAPITAL PLAN LIST C – EVALUATIONS

6	site improvements at the Country Park which is £741,000. Profiling of Expenditure									
	2019/20 (£'000)	2020/21 (£'000)	2021/22 (£'000)	2022/23 (£	(000)	2023/24 (£'000)	2024/25 (£'00			
7	Capital Renewals Imp	act: Capital renewals v	741 vill reflect the nature of the	financial agre	eement rea	ched with the Leis	ure Trust.			
8	Revenue Impact: The developer contributions that will be allocated to the project generate investment income (£6,550 in the 2020/21 estimates) that is used to contribute towards day to day maintenance costs associated with the Country Park. This and other revenue impacts will be reflected in the financial agreement reached with the Leisure Trust and aims to deliver a saving to the Council.									
	impacts will be reflecte	d in the financial agreen	nent reached with the Leis	are Trust and	aims to de		e Council.			
9	impacts will be reflecte Partnership Funding:		nent reached with the Leis	ure Trust and	aims to de		e Council.			
10	Partnership Funding: Project Monitoring / P post implementation re	None. Post Implementation Review 12 months after continuous	eview: Project will be mon			liver a saving to the				
	Partnership Funding: Project Monitoring / P	None. Post Implementation Review 12 months after continuous	eview: Project will be mon		ect manage	liver a saving to the				
10	Partnership Funding: Project Monitoring / P post implementation re Screening for equality Question a. Does the decision	None. Post Implementation Review 12 months after control impacts: being made or recommendates adverse impact or	eview: Project will be mon mpletion. ended through this paper	tored by proje	ect manage	liver a saving to the				
10	Partnership Funding: Project Monitoring / P post implementation re Screening for equality Question a. Does the decision have potential to c different groups in b. Does the decision	None. Post Implementation Review 12 months after control impacts: being made or recomme ause adverse impact or the community?	eview: Project will be mon mpletion. ended through this paper discriminate against ended through this paper	tored by proje	ect manage	liver a saving to the				